United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPENDIX

Phs

76-1193

IN THE

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

1.

CLAYBURN C. BOOTH,

Defendant-Appellant.

APPENDIX

HAROLD FEIN, ESQ., Attorney for Defendant-Appellant, 245 Statler Hilton Hotel, Buffalo, New York 14202.

HILARY P. BRADFORD, ESQ., COHEN SWADOS WRIGHT HANIFIN BRADFORD & BRETT, 70 Niagara Street, Buffalo, New York 14202, of Counsel.

BATAVIA TIMES, APPELLATE COURT PRINCERS A. GERALD ELEPS, REPRESENTATIVE 20 CENTER ST., BATAVIA, N. Y. 14020 716-248-0457 PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

e.

INDEX TO APPENDIX.

	page
Docket Entries	la
Indictment	5a
Excerpts of Transcript of Testimony	8a
TESTIMONY.	
Witness for Government:	
Lonardo, Dominick L.	
Direct Examination	8a
Cross Examination	33a
Re-direct Examination	76a
Re-cross Examination	84a
EXHIBITS.	
Government's Exhibits:	
Exhibits 4346Documents. Received in evidence	
at page 23a. Not Printed.	
Exhibit 47Comparison of Income. Received in evidence	
at page 23a. Printed at	87a
Defendant's Exhibits:	
Exhibit 19Document. Marked for identification at	
page 33a. Not Printed.	
Exhibits 2026Documents. Marked for identification	
at page 51a. Not Printed.	
Exhibit 27Document. Marked for identification at	
page 53a. Not Printed.	

CRIMINAL DOCKET UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

John T. Curtic

					CR-	1973	- 15	8.
D. C. Ferm No. 1%		LE OF CASE			T	ATTORNEYS		2 To
		ITED STATES			For U.S.:			,
		vs.			Theodore	Rurne F	ea.	
	CLAYBU	RN C. BOOTH			Theodor e	burns, L		
did wilfu	illy and knowing	gly attempt	to evad	de income				
tax, by I	n, in violatio	n of Section	7201,	Title 26,				
U.S.C.								
					For Defendan	it:		
		ξ.			Harold F			
								
					 			
	1000 1007	1060	3	Cts.	 			
	1966, 1967,	1	T	DATE	NAME OR	REC.	T	SZ.
STATE	STICAL RECORD	COSTS			RECEIPT NO.	¥ .		
		Clark	+-+	4/16/76	# 27036 C/060	350000	3500	00
J.S. 2 mailed	l	Clerk	+-+	1/21/16	4060			
J.S. 3 mailed	d	Marshal			I	1	1	
		Desket for		_			-	
Violation		Docket fee	1-1	,				
Title 26			Ža.		ļ	1-!	-	
7	201		+-+		 	1	-	
Sec. 72							1 .	
		1			 		·	
		-	+-+			-	+	1
	7	,						
1973	1		PRO	CEEDINGS				
1973			==					
Apr. 12	Filed Indicts	ent						
Apr. 12	J.S. 2 made				nles of n	ot milt		-11
23	Defendant, be	ng duly ar	-own-r	enters	tions ret.	5/21/73	y	***
Apr. 26	Filed Ct. Steno	s Minutes of 4	-23-73	000817				
May_21	Adj. two week	s, Court req	uests_]	etter fro	m Goyt, re	- adj.	ngn.	
June 1	Fld. Govt's re Adj. 6/18/1973	esponse to De	ert's o	rai reque	St TOT GESC	overy -	mop.	
June 4 June 18	Adj. to 7/2/19	973 at Reque	st of d	left.				
July 2	Adi. One Week							
July 9	1 Add to 7/16	/1973 and Co	urt ins	tructs th	e Govt. to	be		
Cont 24	prepared Adj. to 9:15	d to advise	the Cou	Govt. rep	diness to port as to v	olume of	witne	al, esses
Sept. 24 1974	Adj. to 3.1.	01 0, 20, 10		·				
1974				E 1074	o novett de	FF - +N-	nrena	re
Feb. 4	The Court grafurth	er motions.	Govt.	announced	readiness	for tria	i-	
Feb. 25	Adj. to 3/18/	/1974	inati	ructed to	notify Dage	bw 164	to- 1	
Mar. 18	Maj. to 4/29	1974 - GOVE	. Insti	ucted to	motify Deli	r. by ter	rer_x	t 1s

2a	Docket Entries.
DATE	PROCEEDINGS
1974	
Mar. 18_	final adi.
Apr. 24	Filed Deft's notice of motion for additional information to support cer-
	tain items noted in the Govt's response - pursuant to Rule 16 -
	of the FRCP- ret. 4/29/1974
Apr. 29	Status report. Motion have been filed by deft. adj to 5/20/1974 for
F	Cout's response and to June 2 for Detti. adj to 5/20/1974 for
	Govt's response and to June 3 for Deft's answer to Govt., if
May 20	Return date for briefs - Adj. to 7/1/1974 - for Deft. to respond.
May 21	Filed Govt's response to pre-trial motion for additional discovery
June 3	Date for filing deft's answer to Govt's response, adj. to 7/1/1974
July	Adj. to 7-15-74 for Govt. to furnish material to Deft.
July 15	Motion-Ready for trial, the Court will send out a pre-trial order.
August 2	Filed Subpoens (DT) - to John J. Lynch served on 7/29/74
Aug. 2	Filed Subpoens (DT) - to Legal Dept. (Peter G. Esguerra) served on 7/29/74 Filed Subpoens (DT) Dominic L. Lonardo served on 7/31/74 to Mrs. Lonardo.
Aug. 2	Filed Suppoens (DI) Dominic L. Lonardo served on 7/31/74 to Mrs. Lonardo.
Aug. 6	Filed Subpoena (DT) National Health & Welfare Retirement Association, Inc.
	served on 7/29/74
aug. 6	Filed Subpoena (DT) Medical Society of the State of NYserved on 7/31/74
Aug. 8	Filed Subpoena (DT) The State Insurance Fund served on 8/1/74
Aug. 8	Filed Subpoena (DT) Metropolitan Life Insurance Coserved on 7/31/74
Aug. 12	Filed Subpoena (DT) Chemold Corp served on 8/1/74
Aug. 16	, 50000 00 0/12/14
Aug. 16 Aug. 20	
	ALL VEW THE ALERA MARKET ALL VEW THE DITTOL OF THE
Sept. 16	
Nov. 4	Pre-Trial Conference. Adj. 11/25/74 at 2:00 P.M.
Nov. 25	PretrialAdj. to 2:00 pm on 12/9/74 for report
Dec. 9	PretrialAdi. to 1/13/75 at 2:00 PM
1975	PretrialAuj. to 1/13/13 at 2:00 PM
Jan. 9	Filed Cy. of letter to the II.S. Atty., dated 1/8/75, from Aty.
	Harold Fein, in regard to "3500 material"
Jan.13	
Jan. 27	Pre-Trial Conference held
Feb 18	Pretrial conference held. Adj. to 3/10/75 for further pretrial conferen
Mar.10	Pretrial conference-adj. to 4/7/75
Apr. 7	Pre trial conference-no appearance for deft. Adj. to 5/5
May 5	Pre-trial conference. Adi. 5/19/75
June 23	Pre-trial conference held. Adj. to 7/1/1975 at 9:00 a.m. for further
	pre-trial
July 9	Pre-trial conference held. Case ready for trial. Court orders case
	placed on the ready calendar for trial after 9/17/75
Aug.6	Filed subpoens to Produce Document or object - Ronald Luke, served
	8/4/75
Aug. 15	Filed subpoena (D.T.) - Norman Jansen, served 8/13/75
Oct. 2	Pre-trial conference held in the above case
1976	
Jan. 21	Govt. moves case ready for trial, before Judge Curtin, at Buffalo, N.Y.
	whereupon the jury is duly enpanelled; trial is hereby Adi. until
	completion of the trial in CR-1975-35 - U.S. vs. James Williams
Jan. 23	Filed Threesubpoenas - Robert Clark, James Best, Norman M. Jansen,
	served 1/22/76
Feb. 2	Trial continues from 1/21/76 with the same appearances and jury, with the
	exception of juror number 7, who is ill and is replaced by alternative juror No. I - Trial is adj. until tomorrow
	TALLE TO THE TO BUT - MILLIE COMMITTON

Docket Entries.

Clayburn C. Booth CRIMINAL DOCKET

Sheet No. 2 CR-1973-158

--

	IWILIAN	L DOCKET CR-1973-158			i-439	-	
-	T			CLERK'S		PZES	
1976		PROCEEDINGS	P.AIMT				
eb.	3	Trial continues from yesterday with the same appearance & jury. Trial is adj. until tomorrow					
eb	5	Filed subpoena Dominick L. Lonardo served 2/2/76				_	
eb_	4	rial continues from yesterday with the same appearances trial is adj. until tomorrow	& ju	У		_	
eb.	5	Trial continues from yesterday with the same appearance Deft. moves to dismiss the Indictment. Motion de	enied	rry			
_		Jury is discharged for the night, to return tomor to begin their deliberation	row r	ori	ing		
eb.	6	Jury begins their deliberation. Jury returns with the	ollo	ing			
		verdict: Count 1-Guilty. Count 2 - Guilty; Consentence is deferred 3/8/76	int 3-	G	ilty;		
eb.	11	Filed subpoena ticket - Mary Lee Cassetta					
eb_	24	Filed letter from Harold Fein, Atty., for deft., to the dated 2/24/76 - requesting until 3/8/76 in which	to :	file			
=		his motion for a rehearing, and arguments on his originally made at the conclusion of the trial, verdict aside as being against the weight of the	to se	t	he	_	
—		and contrary to law, as charged by the court. this matter will be postponed until some later	tenter	Ce	in		
		fixed by the court. Court's endorsement on le	ter.	rai	ting	_	
=		deft's request - motions following verdict may later than 3/8/76CURTIN. J.					
Mar	4_	Filed deft's notice of motion for an order granting a	ew t	ial	, etc	_	
Mar	. 8	Return date for motions, Adj. 3/15/76	-	-	-	-	
Mar	12	Filed Govt's response to deft's motion for a new trial judgment of acquittal	r				
Mar	. 15	Return date for govt's reply to deft's motion. Filed.	_				
Mar	. 16	Filed Decision and Order denying deft's motion for jud	ment	of		L	
		acquittal and a motion for a new trial pur. to	Bules	29		L	
Mar	29		 	_		L	
Apr.	12	fine of \$3,500; On Count Two of the Indictment of Count Three of the Indictment a fine of \$3,50	fine	of	\$3,5	00	
—		sentences are to run concurrently. Defendant at Thirty days to pay the fine. CURTIN, J.	111	Ave		H	
Ann	. 16	Filed deft's notice of appeal.					
	21	Filed Judgment and order to pay fine. Curtin, J.				L	
pr.	-	Filed cy. of scheduling order from the CCA - that appe	al he	1			

48	Docket Entries.	
1976	PWOCEEDINGS	PLAN
ay 5	Index to record on appeal, clerk's certificate, docket	
	entries and original pertinent papers mailed to	
	CCA.	
ay 20	Filed Ct. Stepo's trial transcript (2 volumes)	
ay 21	2 volumes of trial transcript, supplemental index to re	
	appeal, supplemental clerk's certificate, and copy of d	ocke
	entries mailed to CCA.	
		-
	·	
		-
	•	
		-
	•	- 6

In the District Court of the United States

For the Western District of New York

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

MARCH 1973 SESSION XXXXX

No.C R - 1 9 73 - 158

Vio. T: 26, U.S.C., Section 7201

CLAYBURN C. BOOTH

COUNT I

The Grand Jury Charges:

That on or about the 15th day of April, 1967, in the Western District of New York, the defendant, CLAYBURN C. BOOTH, of Niagara Falls, New York, did wilfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a large part of the income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 1966, by preparing and causing to be prepared, by signing and causing to be signed, and by mailing and causing to be mailed in the Western District of New York, a false and fraudulent income tax return on behalf of himself and his wife, Grete Booth, which was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein it was stated that their taxable income for said calendar year was \$20,360.32, upon which said taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$4,480.86, whereas, he then and there well knew, that their taxable income for said calendar year was the sum of \$32,420.19, upon which said taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$7,765.55; in violation of Section 7201, Internal Revenue Code; Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

The Grand Jury further charges:

That on or about the 15th day of April, 1968, in the Western District of New York, the defendant, CLAYBURN C. BOOTH, of Niagara Falls, New York, did wilfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a large part of the income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 1967, by preparing and causing to be prepared, by signing and causing to be signed, and by mailing and causing to be mailed in the Western District of New York, a false and fraudulent income tax return on behalf of himself and his wife, Grete Booth, which was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein it was stated that their taxable income for said calendar year was \$29,718.97, upon which said taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$7,490.08, whereas, he then and there well knew, that their taxable income for said calendar year was the sum of \$41,817.24, upon which said taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$12,047.16; in violation of Section 7201, Internal Revenue Code; Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

COUNT III

The Grand Jury further charges:

That on or about the 15th day of April, 1969, in the Western District of New York, the defendant, CLAYBURN C. BOOTH, of Niagara Falls, New York, did wilfully and knowingly attempt to evade and defeat a large part of the income tax due and

owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar year 1968, by preparing and causing to be prepared, by signing and causing to be signed, and by mailing and causing to be mailed in the Western District of New York, a false and fraudulent income tax return on behalf of himself and his wife, Grete Booth, which was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, wherein it was stated that their taxable income for said calendar year was \$28,707.79, upon which said taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$7,929.24, whereas, he then and there well knew, that their taxable income for said calendar year was the sum of \$42,380.24, upon which said taxable income there was owing to the United States of America an income tax of \$14,173.09; in violation of Section 7201, Internal Revenue Code; Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201.

JOHN T. ELFVIN

United States Attorney

A TRUE BILL:

Foreman

. 4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

0

MR. BURNS: No further questions.

MR. FEIN: Nothing else.

THE COURT: Nothing further. Thank you, Mrs.

Balch. Next witness, please.

MR. BURNS: Dominick Lonardo.

.

DOMINICK L. LONARDO (2943 Seneca Avenue, Niagara Falls, New York), a witness called by and in behalf of the Government, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT F AMINATION BY MR. BURNS:

- Q. Mr. Lonardo, where are you presently employed?
- 16 A. Union Carbide in Niagara Falls, New York.
- 17 Q. And what is your position with Union Carbide?
- 18 A. I am in marketing.
- 19 Q. What kind of responsibilities do you have there?.
- 20 A. I cover the price analyst area of the metallurgical products. That is, carbon refractories.
- 22 Q. Does it involve any accounting work?
- 23 A. Accounting, no.
- 24 Q. Does it involve any work with figures?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	How long have you been doing this kind of work?
2	Α.	I have been in marketing for two and a half years now.
3	Q.	And prior to that time, what kind of work did you do
-4		with Union Carbide?
5	A.	Production control.
6	Q.	Mr. Lonardo, do you have a college degree?
7	Α.	No, I did not.
8	Q.	Have you attended college?
9	Α.	Yes, I have.
10	Q.	And what college did you attend?
11	۸.	Niagara University.
12	Q.	And approximately what time period?
13	Α.	In the, - I would say in the early '50's.
14	Q.	And did you receive a degree or a certificate of some
15		kind from Niagara University?
16	ž.	I received a two-year certificate of accounting at
17		Niagara University.
18	Q.	And what courses did you take there leading up to this
19		certificate?
20	A.	The fundamentals of accounting, intermediate accounting,
21		cost accounting, income tax courses, corporation
22		management, economics and maybe a few others, but that's
23		the best I could recall right now.
24	Q.	Mr. Lonardo, do you know Dr. Clayburn Booth?

Yes, I do.

- 1 Q. Would you point him out, please. Do you see him in the courtroom?
- 3 A. He is sitting there right next to Mr. Mein.
- 4 Q. Let the record reflect the witness has identified the defendant. How do you know Dr. Booth, Mr. Lonardo?
- A. How do I know him?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. I know him as a physician and as a friend.
- 9 | 2. When did you first meet Dr. Booth?
- 10 A. It was in the early '60's when he was associated with a one Dr. Seidenberg who is now deceased, working with him in the practice of medicine.
- 13 Q. And what was the basis of your relationship at that time?
- I was a patient of Dr. Seidenberg and when Seidenberg,
 I beg your patient, -Dr. Seidenberg's health was failing

 and he was unable to take care of his patients, we were

 referred to Dr. Booth as the man that eventually helped

 take over his clientele or trade.
- Q. Mr. Lonardo, have you ever performed work or services of any kind for Dr. Booth?
 - A. I have been doing his bookkeeping for him.
- Q. And do you recall approximately when you started keeping his books?
- 25 A. I would say in perhaps 163 or 164; about 164. I would

- Q. And how did you come to begin keeping his books for him? How did that develop?
- A. He wanted some work done for a return one year.
- 5 Q. An income tax return?
 - A. Yes, and at that time he was associated with-the, I
 believe with the DeGraff Hospital in Lockport or
 Tonawanda, one of the two, and it was a simple return.

 He just didn't know how to proceed to get it done and --
 - e. Did he initiate a conversation with you regarding the return?
 - A. No, he did not. It came to me in a roundabout way.

 Dr. Seidenberg at the time was the one who was treating

 me and he asked me if we could help Dr. Booth get his

 return prepared for the next year and I said, well, I

 was going to try to help him and that is how it all

 began.
 - Q. Did you have any conversations with Dr. Booth after that point?
 - A. Yes. I met him at his home with his wife and we had the necessary information like W-2's and what have you to help prepare the return made available and we discussed those features.
 - Q. Do you recall what return that was, what year you were preparing for?

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- 1 A. I would say '64, if I remember right, or '65. I don't recall. I think it was '64.
- 3 Q. And would you tell me the conversation you had at that time with Dr. Booth relating to the return?
- It was a very short conversation. These were the 1099's, 5 A. W-2's, whatever it w - that he had and we told him we 6 would prepare it to the best of our ability and see what 7 it entailed. It was a simple return. He had withholding. 8 He had no business expenses. He wasn't set up as a 9 businessman with his ewn practice, so to speak, and it 10 was a matter of just entering on the proper form, the 11 1040 Form the income from both his W-2 and his wife's 12
- Q. In the course of this conversation, did you ask Dr.
 Booth for any information?
- 16 A. Just the information returns and W-2's if he had them.
- 17 Q. Information returns?

W-2.

13

1

- 18 A. 1099's, if he had any such things, or W-2's, if he had
 19 any, but if my memory serves me right, he had no 1099's
 20 at that time. He had only W-2's.
- 21 Q. Did you prepare a return then for him that year?
- 22 A. If my memory serves me best I would have to say yes.
- 23 Q. And did you later perform any other services for Dr.
- 24 Booth besides preparing the return?
- 25 A. After that point the only other services I did perform

H. T. Noel & E. F. Buisley
FFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW 1000

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

1		for him was the keeping of his books when he was in
2		his own private practice.
3	Q.	And what books did you keep?
.4	Α.	It is called a, - it is a Dome Publishing Company
5		publication called A Simplified Weekly Bookkeeping
6		Record. It is perhaps compared to a disbursements
7		journal, at best, and in this book is where I entered
8		the activities of his business.
9	Q.	When did you first start doing this work?
10	Α.	I believe that was in *65.
11	Q.	Is that shortly subsequent to preparing the return?
12	Α.	The '64 return, do you mean?
13	Q.	No. How soon a time did you begin preparing the books
14		after you prepared the first return?
. 15	Α.	I am sorry. I don't follow you.
16	Q.	Excuse me if I am not making myself clear. At some
17		point I think you testified you prepared a Pederal Income
18		Tax return for Dr. Booth?
19	Α.	That 1964 one.
20	Q.	Well, how soon after you prepared that return did you
21		begin keeping Dr. Booth's books?
22	Α.	Around the first or the early part of the following
23		year for the year 165 is when I started to keep the

H. T. Noel & E. T. Knislev OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

All right. Did you have a conversation with Dr. Booth

weekly record for him.

24

22

23

24

25

before you began keeping these records? 1 Yes. I told him that we could keep a journal of 2 records of activities rather in this record and it 3 would entail having to have access to his checkbook or 4 invoices or bills or however he paid his bills and 5 incurred these expenses. 6 And what did Dr. Booth say to you? Q. 7 "Fine". He says, "You could arrange to pick them up A. 8 whenever you want to and make your entries in the book." 9 Q. All right, and whit, in fact, did you do after that 10 conversation regarding keeping the doctor's books? 11 A. I made it a point to pick up his checkbook which did 12 also have, along with the checkbook, copies of invoices, 13 bills indicating the nature of the expenditures that 14 were made over that particular month's time. 15 What did you do with those records after you received them? 17 After I received them I took them home. 18 Excuse me. How did you receive them? 19 I went to pick them up myself at his office. 20

Yes. Well, I would go there perhaps a couple of times a month. I picked them up at least every month at the end of the month, say after two or three working days after the end of the month so I would have a chance to

On a monthly basis?

H. T. Noel & E. F. Brisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	Processing the Control of the Contro	
1		make sure the last transaction was recorded for that
2		month. I would pick them up myself, bring them home and
3		work on them at home.
4	Q.	When you say "work on them", what did you specifically
5		do?
6	Α.	I recorded in the disbursements journal by date, by
7		check number, by dollar amount the actual expenditure
8		that was covered or made as shown in the checkbook."
9	Q.	For what purpose?
10	A.	For recording the activity of his expenditures.
11	4	And what was the purpose of recording the expenditures
12		in this manner?
13	A.	To have a record of what was actually being spent during
14		the course of
15	Q.	Why did you need to maintain the records?
16	A.	I needed to maintain the records for eventually preparing
17		the returns.
18	Q.	The income tax returns?
19	Α.	That's right.
20	Q.	Did you use these records as a basis for preparing the
21		Federal Income Tax returns?
22	Α.	The disbursement journal was the basis or the background
23		for preparing the return, yes.
24	Q.	Now, did you have any discussion with Dr. Booth during
25		any of the calendar years 166, 167 and 168 regarding

H. T. Neel & E. F. Maisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

25

the, - regarding his items of income or receipts? 1 Yes. I asked the doctor to make sure that he would let 2 me know the receipts of his various information returns, 3 again the 1099's, that he would be receiving from, say, Blue Cross, Blue Shield or any other organization that 5 would show that he had received payment for services 6 performed: W-2's from the other sources that he may have 7 had. 8 Did you have these discussions with Dr. Booth on an Q. 9 annual basis, once a year when the tax returns were 10 about to be prepared? 11 Yes. At the end of the year I would always make sure A. 12 he would understand the request was for letting me know 13 when they would come in and I would go down and pick 14 them up. 15 Now, did you, in fact, gather all these items that you 16 have referred to from Dr. Booth yearly? 17 I prepared and summarized these returns, information A. 18 returns, W-2's in a listing of sorts that I had 19 developed myself. 20 And what did you do with the information regarding these Q. 21 receipts and the income after you had received it? 22 The information was entered on worksheets showing what A. 23

H. T. Neel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

the, - each figure was, making sure that they agreed

with what was on the information return or W-2 and

1		adding them up and making sure that the total figure
2		was correct.
3	Q.	Did Dr. Booth provide you with any information regarding
4		his office receipts for any of these calendar years?
5	A.	I asked him for his office calls, moneys, and he gave
6		me the information on slips of paper covering each
7		month of the calendar year.
8	Q.	How often did he give you those slips of paper?
9	Α.	When I asked for them. I asked for them at the end of
10		the year.
11	હ .	What was written on the slips of paper?
12	Α.	The month and the dollar amount.
13	Q.	Was there a separate slip of paper for each month?
14	Α.	Yes, there was.
15	Q.	Were these discussions you had with Dr. Booth the same
16		for each of the calendar years 166, 167 and 168?
17	Α.	I would have to say yes, to the best of my recollection.
18	Q.	Do you recall what other information was on the slips
19		of paper besides, - well, tell me what was on the slips
20		of paper, if you would?
21	Α.	The month, the year and the dollar amount.
22	Q.	Was it broken down any further?
23	Α.	No. That was all that was on the slip of paper.
24	Q.	Did Dr. Booth give you any copies of his office receipts?

No.

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

- Q. Or did he, I am sorry.
- A. He only gave me what I asked him for, sir. These I wanted the nature of the moneys from his office calls on a slip of paper and this is what he gave me. He gave me what I asked him for.
- And what did you do with that information after you received it?
 - A. This again was maintained in a summary listing that I myself had developed showing what the amount of money was from that particular source and it was added to the other sources of income to prepare the total income for the year.
 - Q. Mr. Lonardo, would you look, please, at Government

 Exhibit 44 for identification and tell me if you can
 identify that exhibit?
 - A. That is my handwriting, I am sure of that, and the, what I see here --
 - Q. I am sorry. You say that is your handwriting?
- A. It appears to be my handwriting, although it is in
 duplicate form, I am pretty sure that it is my income.

 I have to say that it is and it is the 1967 office
 receipts listing for Dr. Booth by month with a notation
 on the bottom.--
 - Q. Well, before we get into that, well, a note on the bottom. Is it an explanatory note of some kind?

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1	A.	It is a reference note for me to observe, no doubt,
2		when I would look back to it again. When I evidently
3		got this figure, something stood out that I had to
4		question or ask a question about.
5	Q.	Did you prepare this, Mr. Lonardo, this exhibit on the
6		basis of information received from Dr. Booth?
7	Α.	Yes. These figures came from the slips of paper I
8		mentioned to you earlier.

Q. And is this the ordinary manner in which you would prepare the record at the end of the year?

- 11 A. This was one part of the worksheet form and I had

 12 another worksheet form that I had developed myself as

 13 a listing of all the various sources of income.
- Q. Was it the ordinary course of your practice here when you prepared the returns to make a schedule of this kind?
- A. Yes, I would list each one separately first and then compile this.
- Q. And did you prepare this in the course of your preparation of Dr. Booth's return?
- A. Yes, after the end of the year, the close of the year, the close of business.
- Q. Now, would you look, please, Mr. Lonardo, at Government
 Exhibit 43. Can you identify that exhibit?
- 25 A. Yes, this looks like again a duplicate copy in my

1		handwriting. It looks like my handwriting, 1967,
2		business income listing showing the breakdown by the
3		various categories or, say, sources of income for
4		Dr. Booth and it includes, - excuse me.
5	Q.	I'm sorry, go ahead.
6	Α.	It includes dividends, interest income, capital gain
7		transactions, other dividends. Some were capital gains
8		some were not.
9	Q.	Did you prepare this schedule, Mr. Lonardo, from
10		information provided to you by Dr. Booth?
11	Α.	Yes, the 1099's and the information of Dr. Booth,
2		exactly right.
3	Q.	Was this also prepared in the ordinary urse of your
4		work for Dr. Booth as a tax preparer?
15	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	And was this your ordinary practice to make an exhibit
17		of this kind every year?
18	Α.	Yes. I would always make a worksheet backup for as
19		much as I possibly could.
0	Q.	And would you also look, Mr. Lonardo, at Government
1		Exhibit 45 and tell me if you can identify that
2		exhibit?
3	Α.	I can. This appears to be in my own handwriting and
4		it is actually 1968. I think that is what that number

is. This again lists the various sources of income

1		for Dr. Booth for the year '68 and it summarizes them
2		and then it is totaled at the bottom of the page.
3	Q.	And now, please, would you look at Government Exhibit
.4		46 and identify that, if you can?
5	Α.	1968 listing of office receipts for the months, or
6		rather, by month for the year 168.
7	Q.	Was this another schedule prepared by you?
8	A.	That's right.
9	Q.	Is this the same
10	A.	As we had earlier.
11	Q.	As we had earlier for the calendar year 167?
12	A.	167, right.
13	Q.	All right. Now, would you look at Government Exhibit 47
14		please, and tell me what that is?
15	A.	Yes. This is a listing that I myself developed, the
16		intent of which is to serve as a guideline showing the
17		various sources of income. It was also intended to
18		serve as a basis, if you will, for the, - to help me to
19		determine, - that was a way for income averaging. The
20		reason for seeing these figures is because the income
21		was increasing every year and we wanted to make sure
22		we took advantage of income averaging so that we could

Mr. Lonardo, were these latter few exhibits, 45, 46 and

47 also prepared in the ordinary course of your work as

get the most beneficial tax result.

24

22a D. L. Lon:	ardo, for Government, Direct.
	730
a tax prepare	r?
A. Yes, they were	
Q. And was it you	or ordinary course of practice to prepare
1	this manner periodically?
A. I would do thi	s every year at the end of each year.
This is my own	system or my own developing idea.
MR. BURNS:	Your Honor, I offer in evidence
	Government Exhibits 43 through 47.
MR. FEIN:	Your Honor, I have two schedules
	which may be the originals of two of
	these exhibits and if so, I would prefer
	that the originals be admitted into
	evidence.
THE COURT:	Mr. Burns.
MR. EURNS:	I would be glad to substitute them.
	I haven't seen the originals, though.
MR. FEIN:	If they are, that is your 46 and
	then 47.
THE COURT:	Could we go ahead and have examina-
	tion and take care of that later.
MR. FEIN:	I have no objection.
	A. Yes, they were these forms in these forms in This is my own MR. BURNS: MR. FEIN: THE COURT: MR. FEIN: THE COURT: THE COURT:

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

originals later.

Mark all the exhibits in evidence

and then if possible substitute the

THE COURT:

23

24

(Government Exhibits Nu pered 43, 1 44. 45, 46, 47 received in evidence.) 2 3 Any questions, Mr. Fein? THE COURT: .4 Oh, I have a lot of questions. MR. BURNS: 5 You have some more questions? THE COURT: Yes, on the basis of the exhibits. MR. BURNS: All right, fine. THE COURT: 8 9 BY MR. BURNS: 10 Mr. Lonardo, would you look at Government Exhibit 44 11 again, please, which you identified as the schedule 12 of office receipts for 1967. Would you tell me what 13 the amounts are, the monthly amounts of the office 14 receipts are on this schedule? 15 Yes. In January, one hundred twelve; February, one A. 16 hundred eighteen; March, one hundred twenty-two; April, 17 one hundred five; May, one hundred ten; June, one hundred 18 six; July, - that appears to be ninety-nine; August, 19 one hundred two; September, one hundred; October, one 20 hundred two; November, one ten; and December, one 21 hundred six. That ninety-nine would have to be checked 22

H. T. Norl & E. F. Knisley

What is the total on the bottom?

One two nine two, \$1,292.

23

14

25

Q.

A.

by your arithmetical total down at the bottom, I guess.

- 1 Q. Do you have any reason to question that figure, Mr.
 2 Lonardo?
- A. Yes, I questioned it and this is why I made the notation on the bottom of the page.
 - Q. When you questioned it, what did you do?
 - A. I asked the doctor. Rather, I pointed out to the doctor that the moneys for his office calls appeared to be low compared to what we had been having and I learned that during the years of '67, '68, more and more people were becoming qualified for Medicaid,

 Medicare receipts and in order to get a proper picture of this type of money which was being received from those patients, we have to consider relating Social Services income along with the office calls moneys.
 - Q. What do you mean by relating, relating to Welfare payments?
 - A. Well, they should be taken together. In other words, you compare Social Services plus office calls of one year to Social Services plus office calls of the previous year or for future years.
 - Q. Now, Mr. Lonardo, when you questioned this figure and discussed it with Dr. Booth, did he make any mention of office, of checks that he had received during the course of the year as payment for his services?
 - A. He made no mention of it because I asked him --

1	Q.	D1d	he	make	any	ment1on	of	1t?
---	----	-----	----	------	-----	---------	----	-----

- A. He did not make any mention of it to me, no.
- 3 Q. Would you look at Government Exhibit 46 which you have identified as the --
- 5 A. 168.
- 6 Q. As the schedule of --
- 7 A. Comparable.
- 8 Q. Of office receipts for 1968?
- 9 A. Yes.

24

25

- 10 Q. Would you read off the amounts of these office receipts?
- 11 A. Yes. January, one hundred ten; Pebruary, one fifteen;
 12 March, one O five; April, one O five; May, one thirty;
 13 June, one twenty; July, one thirty; August, one hundred;
 14 September, one twenty; October, one O seven; November,
- one twenty-five and December, ninety-five, for a total of \$1,362.
- 17 Q. Did you have any conversation with Dr. Booth after
 18 receiving those monthly figures from him for calendar
 19 year *68?
- 20 A. No, I did not have any conversation with him on this
 21 one, but I did relate again with the Social Services
 22 income.
 - Q. Mr. Lonardo, do Government Exhibits 46 and 44 represent the total amount of office receipts that were provided to you, the figures for the total amounts which were

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley

1		provided to you by Dr. Booth?
2	Α.	These are what I asked for and these are what was given
3		to me.
4	Q.	Now, would you look at Government Exhibit 45, please,
5		which you have previously identified as a schedule.
6		What kind of a schedule is this?
7	Α.	This is a listing, if you will. It is a backup listing,
8		1958 income by various sources or from various sources
9		for Dr. Booth and all it is is a backup worksheet.
10	Q.	Does that include, - does that Exhibit 45 include the
11		figure for office receipts as you have previously
12		included in
13	Α.	\$1,362 appears on this backup sheet like it does on the
14		other one. That is exactly right.
15	Q.	Mr. Lonardo, after you prepared these schedules each
16		year, what did you do with them?
17	Α.	From these worksheets I prepared the income tax return.
18	Q.	Did you so any other work for Dr. Booth during these
19		years besides maintaining those books that you referred
20		to earlier?
21	Α.	Yes, I did. I made out the required quarterly 941 Pederal
22		Tax Deposit Form. I made out the 501 s, the Federal
23		depository slips, the New York State withholding tax
24		demository slips and also the New York State returns.
25	Q.	Were you compensated for your services by Dr. Booth?

1	A.	No, not compensated because I didn't charge him for it.							
2		I did this gratuitously. I wanted to help the doctor							
3		out. I know he was in pretty rough shape.							
4	Q.	Are you referring to all the work you performed for							
5	4.45	him?							
6	A.	I have never charged him one bit for my work at all.							
7	4	Now, did you prepare Federal Income Tax returns for							
8	2 2 2	the doctor for 1966, 1967 and 1968?							
9	A.	Yes, I d14.							
10	•	Did you prepare any returns for him after that?							
- 11	A.	Not to the best of my knowledge.							
12	- Q.	Did you continue to do any other work for him after							
13		that date?							
14	A.	Yes, I am still doing his bookkeeping work and his							
15		quarterly reports, unemployment insurance and, as I							
16		say, the 941 and other forms, yes.							
17.	9.	Have you received any compensation from Dr. Booth for							
18		these services?							
19	A.	For these subsequent years the only compensation I have							
20		received now is starting in 1974.							
21	4	And are you a patient of Dr. Booth?							
22	Α.	Yes, I am.							
23	Q.	Q. Have you been a patient from the first date you							
24		mentioned earlier in your testimony?							
25	A.	Yes. in the early '60's. BEST COPY AVAILABLE							

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

_			
•	u		
,	-	• 1	
	œ		

D. L. Lonardo, for Government, Birect.

236

1	O To the successor
'	Q. To the present?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you have family members who are also patients?
. 4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And has Dr. Booth billed you or charged you for any
6	medical services he has rendered?
7	A. Not one cent.
8	
9	MR. BURNS: Your Honor, I have some tax returns
10	I would like to show this witness that
11	have not been marked simply because our
12	witness has not been here to identify
13	them.
14	THE COURT: Go ahead. We will get that
15	connected later.
16	MR. FEIN: I have no objection, your Honor.
17	BY MR. BURNS:
18	Q. Let me show you, Mr. Lonardo, what has been ma ked now,
19	what has not been marked but what is the 1040, U.S.
20	Individual
21	
22	THE COURT: You are going to mark that as an
23	exhibit?
24	MR. BURNS: Well, your Honor, we are going to
25	mark the copy as an exhibit rather than

H. T. Auel & E. F. Kuisles
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

the originals.

THE COURT:

Let us mark that to coincide. It will have the same number as the copy. The original will have the same number as the copy. Simply after we have other testimony substitute the copies.

. 9

10

11

14

22

5

BURNS:

- Mr. Lonardo, let me show you what has been marked as Government Exhibit 1. Can you identify that for me, for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, rather?
- 1966 B. S. Individual Income Tax return made out for 12 Clayburn C. and Grete G. Booth. 13
 - Do you know how this income tax return was prepared?
 - I prepared it.
- So I take it you know how it was prepared? 16
- Yes. 17
- All right. How was it prepared? Q. 18
- Prom the backup sheets that we mentioned here earlier, 19 the information was put in the proper schedule form. For example, you have here capital gains transactions 21 were listed on Schedule B; business activities on

Schedule C, et cetera. 23

what did you do with the exhibit after it was typed up? 24 Let me back up just a minute. Did you type the return? 25

> H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- A. No, I did not.
- 2 Q. Do you know who did?
- 3 A. I had it typed. I don't recall who it was.
 - Q. After the return was typed up, what did you do with it?
 - A. I made sure that it was brought to Dr. Booth and his wife and point out to them what their tax obligations were.
 - Q. How did you do that?

return with him?

- A. By physically taking this return with me, delivering it to his home and his office which is in the same building, of course, and making sure that I would have the return with me to explain to him what he had to do to get this in on time.
- Q. And did you review the return with him in any manner?
- A. Page 1 was the only so-called review, if you will.

 Page 1 showed what his obligation was to pay in addition to what he had already paid by virtue of estimates, or if he had an overpayment showing a credit against his 1040ES Form which incidentally is not attached here.

 The 1040ES is the estimate form which I made out at the same time as this, so they could show the obligation for the past calendar year and the coming calendar year.

H. T. Noel & E. P. Knisley

		239	
			-
.	۸.	The only question he asked is, "What is it I have to	-
2		pay and what do I have to pay for my quarterly payments	+
3	4	And then did he sign the return?	-
4	A.	He did not sign is in front of me, no.	
5	Q	Now, let me show you what has been marked Government	
6		Exhibit 2. Can you identify this exhibit?	-
,	A.	1967 1040 U. S. Individual Income Tax return for	
		Clayburn C. and Grete G. Booth.	
,		And do you know how this was prepared?	-
	A.	I prepared it and I prepared it again from the summary	-
,		or backup sheets we were talking about here a few	1
2		minutes ago, putting those numbers on these saleets.	-
3	0	Was it prepared in the same man er as Government Exhib	1
		1, the '66 return?	1

Mes, in the same marmer.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- All right. What did you do with Exhibit 2, the '67 return, after you had prepared it, typed it up?
- Much the same. In fact, exactly the same as I did with Number 1, making sure I took it to the office and the home and go over it with the doctor to the extent of what was due, how much was overpayment and what would he have to pay for his quarterly payments for the coming year.
- And did the doctor then sign it?
- No, he did not. 25

1		
,	ų.	And what did you do at that point?
2	Λ.	I left it with him, making sure that they would observe
3		signing it and posting it by the 15th of April.
4	Q.	All right, and now, finally, showing you Government
5		Exhibit 3, would you identify that exhibit?
6	Α.	The 1968 1040 U. S. Individual Income Tax return made
7		out for Clayburn C. and Grete C. Booth which I prepared,
8		and this again was prepared in much the same manner as
9		167 and 166.
10	Q.	And did you again follow the same procedure in discussing
11		it with Dr. Booth?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Was there any difference in the procedure for the '68
14		return, any difference from the '66 and '67 returns?
15	A.	Not to the best of my recollection.
16	Q.	Mr. Lonardo, I notice on Government Exhibit 3, 168
17		return, there is a block at the bottom of the return
18		which says "Signature of preparer other than taxpayer",
19		together with a date and address. Did you sign this
20		tax return?
21	A.	No, I did not.
22	Q.	Is there any signature at this point where it says
23		"Signature of preparer"?
24) A.	There is no signature.

And would you look at Exhibit 2 where it also calls for

- 1	
,	signature of preparer. Did you sign that one?
2	A. No,I did not.
3	Q. And Exhibit 1 where it also asks for signature of
-4	preparer, did you sign that?
5	A. No, I did not.
6	Q. What reason, - do you have any reason for not signing
7	these tax returns?
8	A. In my own humble opinion, I felt it was not necessary
9	to sign it.
10	Q. And for what reasons did you believe it was not necessary
11	to sign it?
12	A. Just my opinion. I have no reason.
13	
14	MR. BURMS: I have no further questions.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Pein.
16	
17	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FEIN:
18	Q. I show you Defendant's Exhibit Number 19 for identifica-
19	tion
20	
21	(Defendant's Exhibit Number 19
22	marked for identification.)
23	
24	BY MR. FEIN:
25	Q. And ask you to examine it, please.

II. T. Noel & E. P. Kuisley

M.

24

25

1 A. Yes.	1	A.	Yes.
-----------	---	----	------

- What is the heading on this?
- This is called "The Dome Simplified Weekly Bookkeeping A. 3 Record", as I mentioned here earlier.
- Q. Is this the record that you were talking with Mr. Burns 5 about? 6
- Yes, it is. It is exactly the one. A. 7
- And what did you call it? 8
- A. "Simplified Weekly Bookkeeping Record". 9
- Okay. Now, what did you, what was the procedure that 10 you followed in maintaining these records? 11
- Every month I would pick up the doctor's checkbook that A. 12 had the invoices and bills along with it. I would 13 record by date, by account or by the type of item the 14 check covered, the check number and the actual amount 15 of dollars involved. Each transaction was recorded on 16 a separate line in this book. 17
- And then subsequently what would happen later in the 18 year? 19
- ٨. Subsequent to the posting of all this information from the checkbook I broke these entries or transactions 21 down into my developed form of accounts, if you will, 22 indicating the breakdown, for example, medical supplies, 23 repair, maintenance, uniforms, laundry services of some kind.

H. T. Voel & E. F. Knisley OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	District Company of the Company of t	
1	٠.	Did Doctor, - did the doctor have anything to do with
2		your maintaining this book?
3	A.	This is my own personal development of the book and the
.4		system. This is the way I prepared it. The doctor
5		did not have anything to do with it. I prepared it
6		myself.
7	Q.	Well, isn't it true that everything that was done by
8		the doctor was pursuant to what you had asked him to do
9	A.	Yes. He gave me everything I asked him for, everything.
10	4	Bverything you asked him for?
11	A.	Everything I asked him for I received, no ifs, ands or
12		buts about it.
13	0	Now, much ado is being made about the office receipts.
14		What did you, - when you understood, - how did this, -
15		how was this initiated, this office receipts, the
16		terminology? Did you originally say office receipts,
17	-	did you say office calls, did you say cash and office
18	1	calls, what did you say?
19		
20	THE	COURT: Mr. Pein, can't you make a simple
21		question?
22	MR.	FEIN: I am sorry.
23	THE	COURT: There are about four questions
24	1	there. State it simply, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 4				
- 1	***		FROM TO	
	14 4	DOLL C	FEI	u .
- 10	1 2 7	1-111		٠.

- Q. Do you recall the, what you said to him and what he said to you when you first initiated this program?
- A. Yes. I called it, "I would like to have from you, doctor, a number indicating the cash office calls and visits you have actually received during the course of the year by month. I need this breakdown by month because it will serve me as a direct comparison here to know what kind of activities you are having as office calls and visits."
- 11 Q. You asked him for the cash office calls?
- 12 A. This is what he gave me. He gave me everything I asked for.
- Q. Did he ever fail to give you anything that you asked for?
- 16 A. No, never.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, with reference to Government's, have these been admitted into evidence?

19

MR. BURNS:

Yes.

21

23

24

20

BY MR. FEIN:

- Q. Government's Exhibit Number 44 admitted into evidence which is the total of each office calls, is that?
- 25 A. The breakdown by month, January, Mebruary, et cetera.

H. T. Noel & E. F. Kaisley

WES ERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

,	4	And the total
2	A.	Is one thousand two ninety-two.
3	Q.	Now, is there a line for that?
.4	A.	Check this page, sir, if you will. Yes, it is, one
5		thousand two ninety-two. Here it is.
6	Q.	That is now reflected in
7	A.	5.
8	Q.	In Exhibit 43?
9	Α.	Right, Exhibit 43.
10	Q.	Okay. Now, what is the first item on Exhibit 43?
11	A.	Social Services.
12	Q.	And what is that amount?
13	Ă.	\$32,324.35.
14	0	Do you recall how you got that information?
15	Α.	This came from a 1099.
16	Q.	Well, will this help refresh our memory?
17	A.	I would have to say 1099. I see here, all right. I
18		beg your pardon. I just kept thinking 1099 at the time.
19	4	What is this?
20	A.	This is a statement
21		
22	MR.	FEIN: May I, your Honor, take a sticker
23		so I get it -
24	THE	COURT: Just mark it in pencil for the

H. T. Noel & E. P. Knisles
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

present, Mr. Fein.

8

7

8

9

10

11

14

24

25

BY MR. PHIN:

- 2 Q. This would be 20. This is covernment Exhibit 20, 3 Defendant's Exhibit 20 for identification, and I ask you
 4 to examine that and then please read it to the ladles
 5 and gentlemen of this jury.
 - A. It is a statement made out by a Mrs. Alice May Mallaber, accounting supervisor for the Niagara County Department of Social Services. It is addressed to Dr. Booth and it is dated March 27, 1968. It says, "Dear Dr. Booth, The amount paid to you by the Social Services Department for 1967 was \$32,324.35." and that is my checkmark.
- 12 Q. That is your checkmark?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. And then what did you do transpose --
- 15 A. Transferred this.
- 16 Q. Transferred this to --
- 17 A. To the backup heet, Line 1.
- I show you the stipulation entered into between the

 Covernment and Dr. Booth with reference to a stipulation

 involving the Niagara County Department of Social

 Services and I refer you to the second page, well, I

 guess maybe you will have to read this stipulation and

 then just pick the '67 figure out, if you will.
 - a. All right, sir, I will. "It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the United States of America and

II. T. Noel & E. F. Kuisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Mallaber, Accounting Supervisor, Niagara County

Department of Social Services, 100 Davison Road,

Lockport, New York 14094 were to testify in the case of

United States v. Clayburn C. Booth -- "

6

7

10

11

5

2

THE COURT:

Excuse me. We have already had

that.

MR. PEIN:

May I just refer to the amount

then, your Honor?

THE COURT:

Yes.

12 THE WITMESS:

Just the amount, sir. All right,

sir. I am sorry. On Page 2 the amount, 1967 reads "\$32,324.35".

14

16

17

18

23

24

25

13

15

BY MR. PEIN:

- Q. And is that the same amount you listed as business income, is that right?
- 19 A. Right, exactly the same.
- 20 Q I show you Defendant's Exhibit 21 marked for identifica-21 tion and refer to the last item on that sheet, ask you 22 to read it to the jury, please.
 - A. This is an information return for calendar year 1967
 made out to C. C. Booth, M.D., 532 Memorial Parkway,
 Niagara Palls, New York and it says, "Patronage dividends

OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

4	4	١.		
-	۹	7	а	

D. L. Lonardo, for Government, Cross.

1		and certain other distributions by cooperatives \$1,833.08
2		from Blue Shield of Western New York".
3	Q.	And Medicare, is that what that presents?
4	Α.	Right. Blue Shield dash Mediate, \$1,833.

There is a difference of eight cents?

Eight cents, right. A difference of eight-cents, A. exactly right.

The third one?

The third one I have listed on here --A.

I show you Exhibit 21 again.

Exhibit 21, this is an information return for 1967 made out to the doctor from the City of Niagara Falls, Niagara Falls, New York in the amount of \$109.25 listed as compensation.

Again is that on your scheoule?

City of Niagara Falls --

MR. BURNS:

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Your Honor, I am going to object simply because the exhibits are apparently misnumbered, at least according to our records. We have two exhibits 21.

MR. FEIN:

No, this --

THE COURT: 23

Just a minute, Mr. Burns. Let us get it straightened out. Whatever you say there is on the record. Stepback

H. T. Noel & L. F. haisley ICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

there and work 1t out.

2

3

5

7

8

12

13

BY MR. FEIN:

- Q. I will go back to Defendant's Exhibit 21 for identification and ask you what is, are there more than one photostat of a 1099 on that sheet, and if so, will you just read the name of the payer for each one of the three?
- yes. There are three of them on this sheet of paper.

 The first one is from the State Insurance Pund in New

 York, New York, to the amount of \$948.35.
 - Q. You don't have to read. I would just like you to read the names.
- A. All right. The first one is State Insurance Fund. The second one is City of Niagara Falls, Niagara Falls, New York, and Blue Shield of Western New York, Buffalo, New York.
- Q. And this is, and Defendant's Exhibit 22 for identification, and will you make reference to what is on that sheet, please?
- 21 A. Blue Shield of Western New York, Buffalo, New York.
- Q. There is a photostat copy of a 1095, is that right?
- 23 A. Right, just the one.
- Q. All right, and Defendant's Exhibit 23, please?
- 25 A. There are three on this sheet of paper, John Hancock

H. T. Nacl & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

42a		D. L. Lonardo, for Government, Cross.
	1	290
1		Mutual Life Insurance, Marine Midland Trust of Western
2		New York and Falls Budget Corporation.
3	Q.	And Defendant's Exhibit 24, please?
. 4	Α.	There are three also on this sheet of paper. The first
5	- Carrier Control of C	one is Nuclear Materials and Equipment Corporation at
6		Lewiston, New York. The next one is the Bank of New
7		York Dividend Disbursing Agent in New York and the Bank
8		of New York again, disbursing agent in New York City.
9	Q.	Now, the first one is not a 1099, the first
10	Α.	4 W-2.
11	Q.	That is a W-2, is that right?
12	Α.	Exactly right.
13	Q.	Okay. Now, referring back again to Exhibit 21, you
14		read to the Court the first item which represented
15		eighteen thirty-three O eight.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	And then one O nine twenty-five?
18	Α.	Right.
19	Q.	Now, the third item is what?
20	A.	The State Insurance Pund, amount of fees paid \$948.35.
21	Q.	And again is that shown on your sheet?
22	A.	Yes, it is.
23	Q.	That is on Line 4?
24	۸.	Line 4, State Inst. See Fund, \$943.35.
25	ų.	Now, referring to Defendant's Exhibit 27 for identifica-

II. T. Voel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1		tion, will you read what that one is?
2	A.	\$21,583.58. This is Blue Shield of Western New York.
3	Q.	And again is that on your sheet?
4	Α.	Yes, Line 5, twenty-one thousand five hundred eighty-
5		three fifty-eight.
6	Q.	And did you make a subtotal of those?
7	A.	Yes, I did, subgross total.
8	Q.	And what was that subgross?
9	A.	\$56,798.53.
10	Q.	And then to which you added
11	A.	Plus the office income of one thousand two hundred
12		and ninety-two, making a gross total of \$58,090.53.
13	Q.	That "office" is the cash?
14	A.	Office income is the cash office calls and visits.
15	Q.	Now, all of those items are reflected in Government's
16		Exhibit 43, is that right?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	All right. I show you Government's Exhibit 2 which will
19		be admitted in evidence which is identified for the
20		time being and referring to Schedule C. Will you tell
21		the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what Schedule C
22		18?
23	Α.	Schedule C is the profit or loss from business or
24		profession schedule and the very first line, or Line
25		Number 1 says "Gross Receipts or Gross Sales". The

1				
1	Trure	there'n	La	190.53.
			-	

- 2 Q. Which is the amo that you had made up on your work-3 sheet, is that right?
- 4 A. Exactly, \$58,090.53.
- Okay. Now, referring to Defendant's Exhibit 23 for identification, would you read from the top down and then after you have done that, will you refer to your schedule, please?
- A. Yes, I will. On this sheet of paper the very first one is from John Hancock Mutual life Insurance to the tune of \$10.10. Marine Midland is Number 2 to the tune of \$456.88. Number 3 is Falls Budget Corporation to the tune of \$280. These all represent interest income and they are on information returns.
- 15 Q. Are they on your sheet?
- A. Falls Budget Corporation, \$280; Marine Midland, \$456.88;

 John Hancock Mutual Insurance Company, \$10.10, for a

 total of \$746.98.
- 19 Q. And 19 that what is reflected on this tax return as an interest income item?
- 21 A. Interest income on the return shows \$746.98.
- 22 Q. I refer to Government's, to Defendant's Exhibit 24
 23 for identification and ask you to read from the top
 24 down again and refer to your worksheet, please.
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

Q. To Exhibit 43.

1

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

- A. The first one is a W-2 Form from Nuclear Materials and
 Equipment Corporation located at Lewiston, New York,
 and that shows the amount of \$2,205.98 paid as wages
 to Dr. Booth and in this sheet, Exhibit 43, there is
 a line that shows wages, \$2,205.98. Item Number 2,
 the Bank of New York, dividend disbursing agent shows
 a dividend and other distributions, total \$44.94,
 broken down as follows, \$9.25 as qualifying di idends;
 \$33.34 as capital gains and \$2.35 as nontaxable dividends.
 On my sheet of paper here --
 - Q. Exhibit 43, Government's Exhibit 43.
 - A. Exhibit 43 I have here dividends \$44.94, total, and \$1.96 is from, well. I should have read Item Number 3. Item Number 3 on Exhibit 24 is also a dividend return figure of \$1.96, all of which is rendered nontaxable, so in Exhibit 43 now I show dividends total of \$44.94 plus \$1.96, for a total of \$46.90, and we have excluded from that \$33.34 which is capital gains, \$4.31 which is nontaxable and \$200 which at the time was a dividend exclusion, so if the subtraction is \$237.65 subtracted from \$46.96, there is no dividend remaining.
- Q. And you showed it that way in Government's Exhibit 2, is that true?
- 25 A. Let me look at that, please, if you will. Yes, this

- 1	
1	return shows the same numbers as I read them, namely
2	\$46.90 less the \$200 exclusion, less the capital gain
3	\$34.34, less nontaxable distribution of \$4.31, which
4	shows a remaining balance of zero or a negative figure.
5	Q. Now, every 1099 that was received, - that you know of
6	that was received by the doctor has been reflected in
7	these accounts and subsequently reported in the doctor's
8	income tax return.
9	A. In the 1040, yes, in the proper schedules.
10	Q. And isn't that what you asked him to do specifically?
11	A. Yes, it is.
12	Q. To report to you the items of income that he received
13	on his 10/918?
14	A. And W-2's.
15	Q. And his W-2's.
16	A. Yes, exactly the same.
17	
18	MR. FEIN: Your Honor, may I suggest that we
19	call a halt now and I will combine these
20	instead of putting them all in separately.
21	They are the 1099's.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Fein, here there aren't many
23	secrets. I had put the burden on the
24	Government to mark all the exhibits

that they are going to use. Usually in

いかつ

not that you aren't entitled to have exhibits, but if you are going to have anymore exhibits which have not been marked, I would appreciate it very much if you would meet with Mr. White and Mr. Knisley and mark them ahead of time.

MR. FEIN:

THE COURT:

Yes.

Hechuse the procedure of marking them piecemeal is most confusing. There is no reason why these materials, and if there is any reason why you do not want to show them to the Government ahead of time you do not have to, but for the sake of orderly procedure, any additional exhibits that you are going to mark, mark them now. If you do not have them, then bring them tomorrow morning or at the noon break and mark them then. Meet with Mr. White and Mr. Knisley. I would like to continue further but you say that you have a number of things to mark there.

I will continue on for a while.

MR. FEIN:

H. T. Noel & E. F. Suisley

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1	THE COL	JRT: You have the book there?
2	MR. FEI	IN: Another book.
3	THE COL	JRT: Is that marked, Mr. Fein?
4	MR. PEI	IN: No. I will mark it with the next
5		number, your Honor.
6		
7	BY MR.	PEIN:
8	Q. 1	refer you to Defendant's Exhibit 25, - 25 marked for
9	1	dentification, and ask you to examine this, please.
10	A. I	recognize this as the Dore publication Simplified
11	W	weekly Bookkeeping Record for the year 1968 for Dr.
12	C	Clayburn C. Booth which I maintained, and I made the
13	е	entries from his checkbook and invoices and bills.
14	Q. A	gain you followed the same procedure in '66; you
15	W	ent to Doctor's office, took his check stube and then
16	m	nade the entries, is that right?
17	А. У	es, it is.
18	Q. I	refer you to Government's Exhibit 45 in evidence
19	W	hich is, - is this called income for 168?
20	A. I	t is a listing of the sources of income for 1968.
21	Q. I	will mark this Defendant's Exhibit 26 for identifica-
22	t	ion, and ask you to examine it and will you without
23	r	eading each individual slip at this time, will you just
24	t	ell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what this, -
9		

what these are?

- 1 A. The first one is a W-2 Form from Nuclear Materials and
 2 Equipment Corporation, Lewiston, New York.
- Q. For 1968?

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. For 1968, made out to Dr. Clayburn C. Booth and the amount \$2,399.80.
 - Q. Don't read the amounts.
 - A. I am sorry. Next one is a Form 1099 as compensation from City of Niagara Falls, New York. The next one is a Form 1099 for the year 1968 from Bl = Shield of Western New York, two of them, and then there is another one now, a 1099 from Marine Midland Trust of Western New York. There is another one from Marine Midland Trust of Western New York. It is a 1099 from John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance. There is a dividend distribution 1099 from IPC Plan, National Investors Corporation, Bank of New York. Here is another one, information return for 1968 from Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, and here is another one from the Bank of New York, another 1099 from the Bank of New York.
 - Q. Okay. Now, the first item on this Government's Exhibit
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is an item called, wait, you have three different columns for this, on this sheet, is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

25

1	Q.	One, the first column, the one closest to the Jury is
2		called "Wages".
3	A.	"Wages".
.4	Q.	The other is called "Other"?
5	A.	"Other".
6	Q.	And the other, the third?
7	A.	"Business".
8	Q.	Okay. The first item is
9	A.	Wages, Nuclear Materials is listed on Ethibit 45 and
10		the W-2 for Nuclear Materials Corporation to the tune
11		of two thousand three hundred ninety-nine eighty, and
12		that is exactly the same figure that is on the Exhibit
3		45. Blue Shield of Western New York, 1099, \$19,087.25,
4		Item 2 on Exhibit 45, \$19,087.25.
15	Q.	And that is carried out into the business
16	A.	Right, business column. This is from City of Niagara
17		Palls, 1099, compensation for \$48 listed under business
18		column.
19	Q.	And is on your list?
10	A.	Yes, listed on here, \$48. Here it is.
11		
12	THE C	COURT: All right. Let us do this, Mr.
13		Fein. At this time we will be in
4		recess until 2:00 o'clock. Please go

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

to the Jury room a few minutes before

2:00 and we will continue the testimony in this case. You may go out with the marshal, please, and return at 2:00.

(Defendant's Exhibits Numbered 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 marked for identification.)

THE COURT:

We will be in recess.

(Recess taken at 12:45 p.m.)

* * * * * *

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

25

PROCEEDINGS: February 3, 1976, 2:00 p.m. APPEARANCES: 2 As before noted. 3 (Defendant present.) (Jury present.) 5 THE COURT: Is the witness here, Mr. Lonardo? DOMINICK L. LONARDO, a witness called by and in bet f the Government, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the witness stand and testified further as 16 follows: 11 12 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FEIN (Resumed): 13 MR. FEIN: I am just looking for some forms that I had, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I wonder, could Mr. Burns help. 16 Would Mr. Burns have them? 17 BY MR. FEIN: 18 I have them. Mr. Lonardo, I think before we broke for 19 lunch you were looking at Government Exhibit 45 which 20 is your sheet showing the income for the calendar year 21 '68 for the doctor and we were reviewing the 1099's and 22 the W2's which had been received by the doctor and 23

That's correct, yes, sir.

which you had recorded on this sheet, is that right?

1	a	Okay. My recollection is that the last 1099 that I had
2		called to your attention and asked you to compare was
3		this one dealing with the City of Niagara Falls for
.4		\$48.
5	A	\$48 entry is correct, sir.
6	Q	Thank you, and that is in the
7	A	Business.
8	a	Column called "business", right?
9	A.	Right.
10	MR. FE	Bob, could you give this a number,
11		please.
12		
13		(Defendant's Exhibit Number 27
14		marked for identification.)
15		
16	BY MR.	FEIN:
17	0	Thank you. I show you Defendant's Exhibit 27 for
18		identification and ask you to examine it and will you
19		please tell the jury what is contained therein and
20		exactly what is on that letter?
21	A	This is a letter addressed to the Department of
22		Welfare and written by Dr. Bcoth, Exhibit 27.
23	0	What is the date?
24	A	March 13, 1969, and it reads, "Dear Sirs: Would you
25	-	please send us a statement of services paid to me in

1		
1		a period of 1960 for our income tax purposes. Thank
2		you for your consideration in this matter. Yours truly
3		Clayburn C. Booth, M.D."
4	a	And is this the original letter that was mailed to the
5		Social Services?
6		This is the original letter.
7	0	And what is noted on the bottom of that letter?
8	A	The bottom of this letter is noted the figure 1968
9		and ther \$41,750.60.
10	a	And that amount is handwritten?
11	A	That amount is handwritten.
12	0	And is there a check alongside of that?
13	A	Yes, sir, that is my check mark, sir.
14	0	What is that check mark?
15	A	That is to denote that I have picked up that amount,
16		recorded it on this work sheet and made sure it
17		agrees with the amount I have on the 1040.
18	0	Well, if there were no 1099 which apparently there is
19		in this instance, that would still be the source?
20		Of information I had used to put on my work sheets,
21		the supporting work sheets for my 1040 entry.
22	0	Is that, forty-one seven fifty sixty listed on your
23		sheet?
24	A	Yes, sir. It is under the line, or rather it is in
25		line with the title "Niagara County Social Services"

1		business column, \$41,750.60 and it agrees with the
2		figure that is on this letter dated March 13, 1969.
3	Q	Apparently, I can't locate a 1099, but is there any
. 4		additional items listed in your column for so-called,
5		for business expense?
6	A	Yes, business i come.
7	Q	Business inco?
8	A	Yes, sir, under the column "Business Income", there
9		is an entry of \$446 which is in line with the entry
10		"New York State Insurance Fund", \$446, sir.
11	Q	All right, and is there an additional item?
12	A	Yes. Under the, - in the business column, there is
13		an additional entry for the office monies, \$1,362.
14	Q	And is that the total that is marked in Government
15		Exhibit 46 in evidence?
16	A.	Just a moment, sir. Exhibit 46 carries a figure
17		one thousand three hundred and sixty-two and it
18		agrees with the entry that is in the business column
19		on this sheet, \$1,362.
20	Q	I ask you to look at this sheet which is unidentified
21		at the moment and would you compare that with
22		Government Exhibit 46, please?
23	MR.	BURNS: Would you describe the document
		you have handed to him?

BY MR. PEIN:

- 2 Q It is a white sheet with lines and it appears to be 3 an original, the original of Government Exhibit 46.
- Can I have the sheet of paper, sir? Yes, in checking it, Mr. Fein, I see the exact same information from the original copied onto the duplicate, namely, the office monies for the year 1968 for Dr. Clayburn C. Booth and the notation on the bottom has a reference to the 1967 note. Yes, exactly the same.
- And again, I show you a sheet which is headed "comparison.

 of income" and ask you to compare that with the photo
 stat which is the Government -
- 13 A 45.
- 14 Q No, it is not 45. Here it is, 47.
- 15 A 47. The figures on each of these sheets are exactly alike. One is apparently the original and this is a duplicate copy.
- 18 Q . It is a photostat.
- 19 A Yes, a photostat.
- Okay. I point, I ask you to examine Government Exhibit
 45 again which is the income for '68. In the next line
 that is on your sheet is identified -
- A Marine Midland Trust under the "other" column.
- And I hand you form, this, will you please -
- A It is a form 1099 from the Marine Midland Trust Company

of Western New York for the year 1968 under the title of "Interest Income" to the tune of \$797.93, which agrees with the entry in the "other" quote column in this sheet.

And the next item?

- This is also a 1099 for the year 1968 for Dr. Clayburn

 C. Booth in the "Interest" column to the tune of

 \$15.10 which agrees with the John Hancock Insurance being
 on this sheet.
- .10 Q And the next item?
- Again, here a 1963 Form 1099 from Marine Midland Trust to the tune of \$280 in the "Interest" definition of the income and this is also in the "other" business income.
 - I hand you the three forms 1099 and ask you to add them. I think you may have combined them in the one item.
 - All right. These are three statements from the Bank of New York spelling out the amount of dividends that were applicable to the year 1968. One was for \$31.55. The other was, it looks like \$9. There is only one number there, \$9, and the other is \$14.61. I think the three together, dividends, \$55.16; thirty-one fifty-five and nine is forty fifty-five and fourteen sixty-one is fifty-five sixteen and that is --

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

0

That is again on your sheet.

2 A Exhibit 45, yes, sir.

May I have Government Exhibit Number 2? No, no, 3. I show you Government Exhibit Number 3 which is in evidence and ask you to examine the totals that are on that sheet which is your work sheet and will you tell the Court where and if they appear on Government Exhibit 3 which is the income tax return for the Dr. and Mrs. Booth for the calendar year 1968? In this Exhibit 45, we have totals under each of the columns named "Wages, Other, Business" reading \$2,399.80 in wages; \$1,118 in "other" income and \$62,693.85 is business income. We go to the 1040 for 1968, individual income tax return for the Dr. and his wife and in the schedule C or the profit or loss from business or profession we have the total income of \$62,693.85, which is in full agreement with the total under the so-called business column as I have labeled it. In the other income areas, we have a \$55.16 figure for the dividends which is agreeable with what is on this page. The, - we have a figure that is on here, total interest income on this 1040 is ten ninety-two o six which agrees with this Exhibit 45 and I read to you the total in the "other" column was \$1,118., but the total interest is still ten ninety-two o six and that

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

of \$12.79 that was the net result of the three information returns I read to you covering the dividends. I gave you the gross dividends figure and I overlooked giving to you the amount that was non-taxable, the amount that was excludable as a capital gain and the amount that should be reported as an income figure which is \$12.79.

- Q And lastly -
- And lastly, the income has a W2, wages figure on the 1040 appears as \$2,399.80 which is in full agreement with the figure that is on Exhibit 45 in the column labeled "wages".
- I show you Government, Court Exhibit 22 for identification which contains a series of work sheets and in the right-hand corner, they are marked for the calendar year 1967 and will you please examine these and tell the Court what they represent.
 - Yes, sir. These are my back-up or supporting sheets which, if you will recall, I made mention that we broke down the entries in the disbursements journal into accounts. These work sheets show the various accounts with each entry in these accounts accounting for the date, the check number and the amount of the disbursement entered in such columns as was determined in the disbursement.

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1		ments journal. They in turn were totaled and these
2		totals represent the total expenditure for the particu-
3		lar account and made up the figures for the return.
4	0	The amounts that are listed in the individual expense
5		accounts contained in Exhibit C22?
6	A	Yes.
7	a .	_ere they the amounts that were posted from Defendant's
8		Exhibit Number 19? Would you examine that, please?
,		Yes. 19 is the disbursement journal for the year
10		1967. These figures and these work sheets, the ones
11		you just gave me as a part of Exhibit C22 were taken
12		from the disbursements journal and broken down into
13		accounts.
14	0	Those individual items came from this book, is that
15		right?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	0	And this book in turn came from the doctor's check
18		stubs, originally?
19	1	Yes, sir.
20	0	Not from the checks, but the check stubs?
21		Check stubs. This is from the check book and the check
22		subs, exactly right.
23	0	Did you ever see the doctor's bank statements?
24	A	No, I did not.
25	0	Did you ever ask for them?

1	A	No, I did not.
2	Q	I show you Government's Exhibit C23 for identification
3		which bears the name Clayburn C. Booth, the year 1968,
4		and ask you if you will examine that and tell this
5		jury what that represents?
6	A	C23 is a set of eight and a half by eleven size sheets
7		of paper that represent the work sheets or back-up
8		sheets by account of business expenditures for the
9		year 1968. The expenditures taken from the disburse-
10	9	ments journal. They should have a disbursements journa
11		that will back up these figures.
12	ø	The figures that are represented in C23 have been
13		posted from Defendant's Exhibit 25.
14	A	Let me check 25, sir. 25 is the year 1968 disbursement
15		journal, that is correct.
16	a	I show you Government's Exhibit 3 for identification, -
17		in evidence and refer you to a schedule called
18		"Explanation of Business Deductions". Did you prepare
19		that?
20	A	I prepared it, yes.
21	4	Would you look at that, - did the figures on that come
22		from this C23 for identification?
23	A	Yes, 1968. They were made up from, - this sheet is
24		marked some a hundred per cent deductible and some

fifty per cent deductible, as the case may be.

a

Would you take the first sheet and refer the amount that is on this sheet to the amount that is on that sheet?

Right. Let's see if they are in here. The first item on this sheet is labeled "Auto repair and maintenance", the total on this sheet is listed as eight o seven fifty-six. Now, this figure part se does not appear on this sheet because the way I have it summarized on this explanation of business deductions includes auto, gasoline, oil, lubrication, washing and repairs. Now, we have here eight o seven fifty-six. Here is the total, five thirty-five sixty-nine. There is two sheets for auto R&M. One figure is eight o seven fifty-six. The other figure is five thirty-five sixty-nine. Now, five thirty-five sixty nine plus eight o seven fifty-six is thirteen forty-three twenty-five, and let's see what I have in here, thirteen forty-three twenty-five.

- Q In other words, you combined, in this instance, you combined two columns, is that right?
- A Right. That same heading was on two separate sheets of paper so I took the total of the one account, "Auto repair and maintenance", for example, eight o seven fifty-six was on sheet 1. Five thirty-five sixty-nine was on sheet 2. The sum total of these

H. T. Neel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

two sheets is represented in the figure thirteen forty-1 three twenty-five on this explanation of business 2 deductions sheet. Answering service is listed in here 3 as \$541., and answering service, Item 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \$541. 5 If I were to go through all of these, would you, to 6 the best of your recollection, would have posted these 7 items to that sheet? 8 I would have to say yes to that, Mr. Fein, because ç you will note that I have each column checked. This 10 was my way of determining that I had picked up the 11 entries on this sheet of paper with the entries on this 12 paper that was submitted on the return. 13 And if I were to ask you in connection with Court 14 Exhibit C22 for identification, which are the expenses 15 for '67, - may I have that, please, - will you pick 16 out a sample item? 17 Sure. 18 And refer them back to this, please? 19 Sure. Here is a figure for drugs, \$3,598.67 is listed 20 on this sheet which is part of Exhibit C22, and we 21 look at this sheet, cost of drugs \$3,598.67. All right. 22 Now we have here medical supplies, \$536.03; cost of 23 medical supplies, \$536.03.

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

If I were to ask you to check each separate item to the

24

25

25

1 best of your recollection, is that where these deductions 2 that are listed in the tax return came from? 3 Yes. These are the supporting or back-up work papers for what is in those sheets. 5 Okay. Now, I refer you to Government Exhibit 47 in evidence which is termed "Comparison of income" and 6 ask you to read across Line 2 which is identified as 7 Medicare Part B for '66, '67 and '68. 8 Medicare Part B, 1966, the amount shown on these, -9 on this sheet was \$713.80. In 1967 for that same 10 particular item the amount read \$1,833. In 1968, the 11 word "unavailable" is written in this column. 12 What does that mean? 13 Mr. Fein, in 1968 we did not receive, and when I say 14 "we", I am implying both the doctor and I, we had not 15 received an information return from the Blue Shield 16 Corporation Section Medicare Part B. I most vividly 17 recall this particular item because I had to call the 18 Blue Shield office at least twice, maybe a third time, 19 but at least twice for sure and the reason I remember 20 it is because I had to speak to a gentleman who, by 21 coincidence, happened to be named Mr. Shields and it 22 was Blue Shield that I was calling. The first reason

he gave for our not having a 1099 was that they were

having some trouble with their "computerized program"

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unquote and they felt the they didn't know when they would be able to get the information available to us so we left it this way, we had a gentlemen's agreement that either of us would call the other in time hopefully so that we would have the 1968 income tax return timely prepared. As it happened, it came around about the week before the due date of the return in 1969, April of 1969, I had not heard from Blue Shield so I called again and the answer at that time was that they didn't have it and then they didn't know when they would have it and I said, "well, look, I will have to make some accounting for this. Mr. Shields, you appreciate I have to have it. If I don't have it, I will have to put some note in our records to show it is unavailable", and this was the way it was left and it never did come in. Now, I had left word with Dr. Booth that should he receive any kind of a letter or a 1099 or any kind of correspondence from Blue Shield indicating what this figure may have been, what it was supposed to be or what they intended to do about it, we were supposed to be made aware of it and take care of it as quickly as possible. By saying that I intended to take care of it, I mean to imply directly that upon receipt of this information, if there were any such information, this amount of money, dollars, whatever they were, were to

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been incorporated in an amended return for 1968 and I don't care what month it would have fallen in. I don't care if it was October or December of '69 when I would have got it I would have made an amended return but related to the 1968 base of income so we would have the proper reflection of taxable balance to be charged by the rate that was applicable for the taxable amount of money at that time. Did Dr. Booth ever know the fact that you hadn't received the Medicare Part B, a 1099 for that? He knew it I was calling for it, yes. Please, I object to the question MR. BURNS: did Dr. Booth know. I don't have any problem with Mr. Lonardo testifying to his conversation with Dr. Booth, but as far as what was in his mind - -As far as what was in his mind, THE COURT: we will have to go on what he did and what he said.

BY MR. PEIN:

Q Did you ever tell Dr. Booth that you had not gotten the 1099?

Yes. I told him I had not gotten it and I asked him if he ever would get it in the mail, please let me know

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisles

1 immediately so we could take steps to file the amended 2 return as quickly as humanly possible. 3 As far as you are aware of, he never got that 1099? To the best of my knowledge, it was never received. 5 Did you ever try to get this information any other way? 6 That is, to determine what this income was? 7 No, I didn't becau a I really wouldn't know where to 8 look for it, to tell you the truta. I mean, it has to 9 come from the person or the payer and I did not think 10 of looking anywhere. Did you ever entertain the notion of checking doctor's 11 12 deposits or anything of that nature? 13 Well, no, not necessarily, sir. I will tell you why. 14 I was using the guideline or the set of criter the 1099 were the sources or the information returns 15 16 were the sources of income and if I were to consider 17 going to some other source of income to determine what this figure may have been, it is quite possible in my 18 19 own mind that I could have distorted some figures or some income represented on the 1040. I used the 20 same set of criteria for all three years and I saw 21 no reason to deviate from that. 22 These are the series of checks which have been introduced 23 into evidence that are marked 16-1 through 16-63, to

which a previous witness has testified to the effect

24

1	To the contract of	that they had not issued a 1099 for these checks and
2	Transferra	I believe the total that is represented in these
3		checks came to \$4,862. Would your, - the return
4		filed by you for 1968, in effect, be understated by
. 2		that amount?
6	A	It could have been, yes.
7	a	Wouldn't it have been?
8.1	A	Yes, in actual fact, if you are telling me this, yes.
9	a	Assuming that was true?
10	A	Yes, it would be understated by that.
11	0	It had to be understated?
12	A	Yes, that's right.
13	Q	Because you had intended to amend that return if it
14		did come in?
15	A	I had intended to include in this total '68 balance
16		that I have here to the tune of the indicated amount
17		that was covered by Medicaid Part B in the amended
18		return. I would have to include it.
19	0	Now, the gross income of '66, '67 and '68 which is shown
20		in Government Exhibit 47
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Were transferred to the respective income tax returns
23		which are evidenced by Government Exhibit 1, 2, and 3?
24	A.	Absolutely correct. Let me check this out.
25	ø	All right. Now, you started keeping the books for the

-		
1		doctor when he first started practice?
2	A	That's right.
3	Q	You had met him prior to his buying his home which was
4		a combination home and office located at 532 Memorial
5		Parkway, is that correct?
6	A.	That is correct.
7	Q	Do you know what that residence cost him?
8	A.	I have to refer to a, - I could tell you quickly by
9		seeing a depreciation schedule, for example, for that
10		initial year. Business cost of office was listed as
11		of June '64 when he began his practice as \$5,250,
12		being represented fifty per cent of the business, so
13		two times fifty-two fifty would come to \$10,500 as
4		his cost of that particular building. Now, the home
15		cost him ten thousand five.
16	4	The home and office?
17	A	Home and office, that's right.
18	Q.	And you know that he had a mortgage on that home?
19	A	Oh, yes. If my memory serves me right, it was
20		in the neighborhood of eight or nine thousand dollars.
21		He had a very small down payment, I remember that.
22	۵	And he was making monthly payments?
	A	Yes.

I don't recall the figures, sir, but I know he was

Of how much?

24

1		making monthly payments. This I know.
2	a	You don't recall?
3	A	The amount per month, no. I think it is in our work
.4		sheets, though, or interest on the mortgage.
5	Q	I show you a sheet headed "Annual mortgage statement".
6		Now, this is just to refresh your memory.
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	۵	Would you examine that and see if that would help you
9		recall what the monthly payments were?
10	A	This was my own handwriting indicating home and office
11		and the payment would have to be fifty-two fifty-seven
12		plus forty-five forty-three, or \$88 right on the button.
13	a	Now, does that refresh your memory?
14	A	Yes, it does. I knew it was under a hundred dollars,
15		but I couldn't remember the exact amount. I couldn't
16		remember.
17	Ġ.	Now, will you tell this Court what kind of a neighborhood
18		this was?
19	A	It could have been classified, - it was classified at
20		that time in '64 less than desirable, a mixed neighbor-
21		hood and let's see, it wasn't a choice neighborhood,
22		let's put it that way.
23	۵	Well, would you describe it any other way?
24	THE C	COURT: Was it mostly low income families
25	i i	moving there?

1	THE WITNESS: Low income families and
2	THE COURT: Is this description going to be
3	any different from the description
4	given by the prior witness?
5	MR. FEIN: No, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Why not go on then to something else
7	
8	BY MR. FEIN:
9	Q All right, your Honor. Do you know of any attempts
10	of mugging of the doctor?
11	A. Yes, I do, specifically one evening while he was
12	MR. BURNS: Your Honor, I object only because
13	it seems to extraneous to this case.
14	THE COURT: I sustain the objection. It
15	certainly has nothing to do, - not to
16	say that it should not happen or it was
17	not a bad event, but it would certainly
18	have nothing to do with this tax case
19	as far as I can see.
20	
21	BY MR. FEIN:
22	Q All right. Do you know of any charitable activities
23	that the doctor engaged in?
24	A. Yes. He was designated as chairman of the United Givers
25	Fund one year, the Professional Division. He did such
AND ESCHAPE STATE	

,	an outstanding job in that particular capacity and
2	
1	
3	characterizations. Let's stick to the
.4	facts.
5	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
6	
7	BY MR. FEIN:
8	Q Did he win any award because of that service?
9	THE COURT: Mr. Fein, you know the rules and
10	if you want to have a witness testify
11	about his reputation in the community,
12	you can do that, but as to outside
13	activities, they may be commendable,
14	but they are not relevant as far as
15	a tax case is concerned. I will sustain
16	the objection.
17	
18	BY MR. FEIN:
19	Q Are you a patient of the doctor's?
20	A Yes, I am, sir.
21	Q What do you think of him professionally?
22	THE COURT: There is no objection, but we are
23	not here to test doctor's medical
24	qualifications. As a matter of fact,
25	we already have the opinion of on

witness. The doctor can be the most excellent doctor in Western New York, but it is absolutely irrelevant as far as this tax case is concerned. Sustained.

BY MR. PEIN:

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

0 Mr. Lonardo - -

7 A. Yes, sir.

Q Do you know whether or not the doctor incurred business expenses in continuing his medical education?

A Yes. He incurred business expenses in that he observed going to these educational courses every year faithfully to keep up with the advanced techniques and know-how in the medical profession. I know this to be a fact.

He has gone every year. In fact, at one time there was a publication in our paper indicating his fellowship or membership into a medical society.

MR. BURNS:

Your Honor, I object again.

THE COURT:

Mr. Fein, we have gone through this

two or three times.

MR. PEIN:

No, your Honor.

THE COURT:

He may belong to seven or eight

medical societies. It does not make any

difference. Let us get back to the

facts of the tax trial, please.

24

25

H. T. Roof & E. F. Knistey

OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

BY MR. FEIN:

- Do you know of the hours that the doctor spent in his office? Oh, no, excuse me. Strike the question.

 When you went to pick up Doctor's records, at what time in the evening or what time would you usually go there?
- Usually, you could say that it was late evening, nighttime, anywheres from between 9:00 p.m. until 1:00 a.m.
- And he was still treating patients at that time?
- A He was treating patients, I know, as late as 11:00, 11:30.
- And what would he do there after, that you know of?
- 14 Thereafter, why, he tried to make it a point to get
 15 back to the hospital to make rounds again before the
 16 morning rounds setup and make sure his more serious
 17 or more critical patients were in a more stabilized
 18 condition or check on their condition, let's put it
 19 this way.
 - Did he ever tell you that, or were you ever present when he treated patients and gave them - -
 - A Medication?
 - Medication without charging them for it?
- 24 Oh, this I know he has done that, sir, because many
 25 patients would come in while I was waiting for him in

II. T. Noel & E. F. Kuisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1	need of injections, medication, what have you and the
2	doctor would offer the his supply of medications,
3	pills, give them their injections, if necessary, and
.4	he did not charge them.
5	Mr. Lonardo, were you summoned to appear before the
6	grand jury and give testimony in this case?
7	A. Yes, I was, in April, I believe, of '73.
8	a And did you give testimony in that case at that time?
9	A No, I did not. We came here around 9:30 or quarter of
10	10:00 that morning and we were advised, I believe it
11	was close to 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon that we were
12	not required to testify.
13	MR. FEIN: I have no further questions at this
14	time.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Burns, anything else?
16	MR. BURNS: Well, your Honor, I wonder, are
17	these exhibits being offered in evidence?
18	MR. FEIN: Yes, I am sorry.
19	THE WITNESS: There are some here, sir.
20	MR. FEIN: No, no. I offer Defendant's
21	Exhibit 26. Well, let's see, they start
22	here, 19. Well, I think it starts
23	with 19, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Burns, is it necessary to
	ask Mr. Lonardo any further questions?
25	

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knislev
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1	MR. BURNS:	Yes, your Honor, based on some of
2		these exhibits that are being intro-
3		duced.
. 4	THE COURT:	He is going to offer them, but let
5		us go ahead and question.
6	MR. BURNS:	All right. I think most of them
7		are acceptable, but I object to portions
8		of some of these exhibits.
9	THE COURT:	All right. You may proceed with
10		questions.
11		
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATIO	N BY MR. BURNS:
13	Q Mr. Lonardo,	would you look, please, at Defendant's
14	Exhibit 19 wh	ich I believe you earlier identified as
15	the expense b	ook you kept for Dr. Booth's expenditures
16	for the calen	dar year 1967, is that correct?
17	A Number 19 is	the record of 1967, yes, sir.
18	Q All right. N	ow, would you describe the book, please?
19	A. The book is m	ade up of individual sheets marked week
20	ending dates	and it offers a section for, - this is
21	other expendi	tures by check and cash in one section
22	and merchandi	se and materials paid by cash and checks
23	in another.	
24	Q. What is on th	e other page?

The other side is a section for a payroll and the

28.

H. T. Nord & E. F. Kaisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

back. This was in Harold's, - Mr. Fein's possession.

Well, did you retain it or was it returned to you at

24

25

78	3a	D. L. Lonardo, for Government, Re-direct. 286
- 1		
١		some point?
2	A	Oh, no. It was never returned to me, sir, no, sir.
3	Q	Now, you testified that you spoke with a Mr. Shields,
4		is that correct?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Prom Blue Shield of Western New York?
7		Yes.
8	Q	Do you know what department he works in?
9		I called the Blue Shield organization, sir, and I don't
10		know.
11	0	Where was that, in Buffalo, New York?
12		Yes, Buffalo, and I asked for the gentleman or the
13		person or persons, whoever it might have been, that
14		could help me with determining the status of the
15		information return pertinent to Dr. Booth's payment.
16	a	Do you know what division that was?
17	A	No, I don't, sir. From memory, I don't recall.
18	0	Did you talk to anyone else at Blue Shield about the
19		matter?
20	A	No, just this one man.
21	a	Did you write any letters to Blue Shield?
22	A	No, I did not.
23	a	Do you know Mr. Shields' first name?
24	A	No, I don't.
25	a	Did you provide Dr. Booth with Mr. Shields' name at

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

some point?

1

2

3

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A I can't recall that I did. I did tell him I called
 the organization, but I don't know whether I gave him
 Mr. Shields' name as such. I don't recall.
- Q Did you give Mr. Fein Mr. Shields' name at some point?
- 6 A At some point, yes.
- 7 Q And when was that?
- Not too distant past. I can't pinpoint the time.

 Within the last, gee, I don't know, year or so. I

 don't recall vividly, sir.
 - Q. Do you recall giving that name of Mr. Shields to anybody within a short period of time after you called Mr. Shields in 1969?
 - No, I don't recall giving it to anyone else, nobody.
 - Did you give this to, did you ever give this name to any agents of the Internal Revenue Service who may have interviewed at any time?
 - A I don't recall that I did because I don't recall that anyone asked me, sir. I don't recall that I did.
 - Do you recall discussing previously, these tax matters with agents of the Internal Revenue Service?
 - No. The time that I was called here for an interview it didn't refer to any Blue Cross, Blue Shield item, as such.
 - Q Do you know if you gave Mr. Shields' name to anyone

H. T. Neel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

You assumed that the manner of payment was by check?

24

25

Yes.

1	Q.	And did you discuss with Dr. Booth whether there was
2		any readily available summary or total of the checks
3		that he had received for that year?
4	A	No, I did not.
5	Q	Did you know what happened to the checks after they
6		were received by Dr. Booth?
7	A	No, I did not.
8	0	Did you inquire as to where they went?
9	A	I did not.
10	Q	Did you any, - well, can you describe what you told
11		Dr. Booth with respect to the missing 1099 for 1969?
12		For '69?
13	a	In 1969 for calendar year 1968.
14	A	Oh, he was aware of the fact, - he was made aware of
15		the fact by me that we did not have a 1099 or information
16		return or letter of correspondence, nothing, with
17		regard to Medicare Part B from Blue Shield.
18	0	And when did you tell him that?
19	A	This was after the calendar year '68 when we were
20		normally getting our other 1099's in the mail.
21	0	And did you discuss that fact with him when you took
22		the 1968 return to him for signature?
23	A	I don't recall I did at that particular point in time.
24		I don't recall.
25	0	Did you ever entertain the thought of filing a request

:		for an extension of time to file a return?
2	A	No. I was led to believe, or rather, I inferred from
3		conversation with Mr. Shields, that he would keep in
4		touch with us, we would keep in touch with him to
5		determine the availability of this figure so I could
6		file an amended return as quickly as humanly possible
7		on receipt of such information.
8	Q	And now, Mr. Lonardo, you kept very detailed records
9		of Dr. Booth's expenses, did you not, in Defendant's
10		Exhibits 19 and 25?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	Every single check was accounted for as far as whether
13		it is a business expense or not?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Can you tell me what affect these business expenses
16		would have on Dr. Booth's taxable income for the years
17		in question?
18	A	I don't follow you.
19	Q	What affect would these, - what affect would these
20		business expenses have on Dr. Booth's taxable income
21		for the years in question?
22	A	They are, - they serve the purpose of being deductible
23		expenses against his gross business income.
	0	All right.

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS U. S. DISTRICT COURS
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

But as taxable income, I don't follow.

1	THE COURT: The point you are trying to
2	Mr. Burns, is that the more expenses
3	a man has, the less tax will be computed?
.4	MR BURNS: Yes, that is what I am asking.
5	THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Lonardo?
6	THE WITNESS: The more tax?
7	THE COURT: No, the higher his expenses are,
8	the less his taxes will be.
9	THE WITNESS: Should be, right, everything else
10	being equal.
11	
12	BY MR. BURNS:
13	Q These would have the affect of reducing his taxable
14	income, is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	And consequently I assume would have the affect of
17	reducing the tax owed by him?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Was that the purpose these records were maintained
20	as carefully as they were?
21	A The purpose of the records being maintained a say
22	they were was to serve, primarily, as an information
23	source to prepare an income tax return. This was the
24	main purpose of the bookkeeping record.
25	And were they maintained carefully by you?

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, sir. I would like to think that they were. In my opinion, they were. All right. I have no further MR. BURNS:

questions. Your Honor, I would like to, I think we could have some discussion about the admissibility of some of these exhibits, though.

May I have a couple of questions, MR. FEIN: your Honor.

All right, ask the witness. THE COURT:

RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FEIN:

- Weren't you as careful about reporting the income for the doctor as you were the expenses?
- I would like to think I was, Mr. Fein. I think I have to say that I was.

THE COURT:

Mr. Fein, there is no objection to this, but I mean it is up to the jury to make this determination from all of the testimony in this case and it does not add anything to it. I do not mean to criticize Mr. Lonardo at all, but it does not add anything to it for him to say, "Yes, I kept the returns carefully". It is up to the

H. T. Voel & E. F. Knisley FICIAL REPORTERS U S DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

jury to make that determination from all the facts in the case and that is not a fact. That is his opinion. It just does not help. Next question. Do not ask anymore questions like that. Next question.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

5

3

BY MR. PEIN:

- All right. You prepared doctor's income tax return based on 1099's or W2's is that right?
- A. That is absolutely right.
- And were those correct to the best of your ability and to your knowledge?
- A. Yes, they were.
- Q Okay. Now, there has been a lot of talk, several remarks made in connection with what you did when you went to see the doctor when you handed him the return for him to file. What did you say to him or what did he say to you, if anything?
 - A I was lucky to get in to him for just a few minutes THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Lonardo. Just
 listen to the question and answer the

question.

THE WITNESS:

Okay.

THE COURT:

When you gave him the returns, what

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisles

did he say to you and what did you say to him?

THE WITNESS:

because I told him I wanted to see him
with regard to the return and the extent
of our conversation when I gave him the
return was this: "Doctor, your 1960"
something "return", whatever it is,
"1968 shows that you owe 'X' number of
dollars and now you have to allow for
meeting your obligation on a quarterly
basis for the current year on this kind
of a payment plan, so many dollars every
quarter on the estimate 1040ES form",
and that was it.

MR. FEIN:

MR. BURNS:

THE COURT:

No further questions.

No questions.

No questions. Ladies and gentlemen, we will take a short break now. The lawyers and I have something to talk about and we will be back in probably ten or fifteen minutes. We will be in recess. Mr. Lonardo, you stay here in case there is a question about any of these papers.

H. T. Neel & E. F. Knisley

				101	1967
				1	and and
	-		Ľ	L	
TITLE SPICE 1	\$	2/ 7/1/2	21/26/18	17/267/25	1
	1000	2/3 80	1833100	612 1/2 1/2.	
City of Marard Palls	Now	4600	1001	00/07	_
NIBE, CO. Social Sves	12/344/105	IPILLA VIII	1	11/1/11/11	_
W. State Insur Fund	No. 9.1	Nove !	20 115	10	-
Office Becalots	6 344/50	4 108 00	0	Z	
		-			
Gross Sub-Total	38 mm 05	144 141 13	12 090 47	626915	†
Net Sub-Total	509	54 NO WE		17	
KAGES					
Muclear Materiale	1.827/0	1,100,00	1	000000	+
Various	1/4	1	200	9 1	
Not Sub-Total	1 307 50	1811	くらしてく	10	1
			-	1_	-
INTEREST & OTHER					
Taring "idland	1. 1.7	10101	456 61	107 63	1
I. Haboock	1/4/10	10.0	0/0/	11213	
IPC (Hatl Inv.)	Now	100	NOWE	66.61	-
alls Budget	° 100 216	2012	2700	12000	_
Carityl Gains	123	787	16 67	13 1.1	
Virichs					
Not Sub-Total	0/1 77	327 724	16165	11600	
Net Grand Total	No 92 16	×7057166	3718-11-5	0.11.6	
					-
2850 SB Adjustment	170 57	121 15	20 617	1/25/	
Net (ADJ) Grend Total	26 411 RC	20 111 92	26 10117	36 210 16	
					-
				GOVER	GOVERNMENT
				A	154
				4	1
	;				1